## Learnability of Various Patterns of Lexical Collocations by Iranian Upper-intermediate Learners of English

## Abbas Ali Zarei\*

Assistant Professor, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran

## Neda Baniesmaili

MA in TEFL, Islamic Azad University, Takestan Branch, Takestan, Iran

#### **Abstract**

To investigate the effect of different patterns of lexical collocations on the recognition and production of Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English, 34 participants at Imam Khomeini International University (IKIU) in Qazvin were presented with receptive and productive tests of English collocations. To compare the participants' recognition of various patterns of lexical collocations, a one-way ANOVA procedure was used. Results indicated that the participants performed relatively better on 'adjective + noun' and 'noun + noun' patterns of lexical collocations, although the differences among the scores of the participants on the different patterns were not statistically significant. Another one-way ANOVA procedure was used to compare the participants' production of the same patterns. Analysis showed that of the seven patterns of lexical collocations investigated, the participants' performance on the 'noun + verb' pattern was significantly poorer than the other patterns.

**Keywords**: Lexical Collocations; Recognition of Collocations; Production of Collocations

#### Introduction

According to Otani (2005, p. 2), Saussure's claim that a word is defined by what surrounds it echoes the well-known Firthian phrase: "you shall know a word by the company it keeps"; it is here that the concept of collocation is manifested. Collocations might be defined as either "the way in which words co-occur in natural texts in statistically significant ways" (Lewis, 2000, p. 6) or "the co-

Corresponding address: Department of English Language and Literature, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran

<sup>\*</sup> E-mail address: aazarei@ikiu.ac.ir

occurrence of lexical meanings of words with other surrounding lexical units" (Jing, 2008, p. 58). Similarly, Benson (1990) describes collocations as word combinations which are arbitrary and recurrent.

In general, collocations are of two major types: grammatical collocations which relate to the grammatical categories rather than meaning associations and lexical collocations which relate to word associations (Zarei, 2002). In the present study, the focus of attention is only on lexical collocations.

According to Nesselhauf (2003, pp. 223-224), "owing to the nature of collocations (i.e. the fact that they are fairly transparent), comprehension is normally unproblematic for learners, so that identifying the problems of learners must mean analyzing their production". However, several studies (Bahns & Eldaw, 1993; Howarth, 1998; Higuchi, 1999; Nesselhauf, 2003; Li, 2005; Huang, 2007; Hsu & Chiu, 2008; Zinkgraf, 2008) have shown that learners may have problems in dealing with both comprehension and production of collocations. The major objective of the present study, therefore, is to investigate the problems of Iranian upper-intermediate learners with the comprehension and production of different patterns of English lexical collocations.

#### **Review of the Literature**

Hsu (2002) holds that Firth describes the meaning of a word at the collocational level by focusing on the relationship between words on a syntagmatic level rather than a paradigmatic one. Zarei (2002) believes that this syntagmatic level refers to the ability of a word to combine with other words in the same string and that this defines a collocation. In the simplest definition, collocations refer to "an arbitrary and syntagmatic link between at least two lexemes (verbs, nouns, adverbs and adjectives), for example: *commit a crime, blissfully unaware*" (Zinkgraf, 2008, p. 93). Tutin (2008) claims that there are two main conceptions of collocations in the European tradition. First, in the British contextualist framework, collocations can be broadly defined as recurrent lexical elements which contribute to text cohesion. Secondly, in the continental tradition, collocations are referred to as "restricted lexical collocations" (p. 43) and considered as lexicalized phrases where two recurrent lexical elements have a syntactic relationship.

The literature on collocations includes various claims about the role of collocations in language learning. Hill (2000, p. 16) claims that "it is possible that

up to 70% of everything we say, hear, read or write is to be found in some form of fixed expression". Nation (2001) also acknowledges the significance of collocations by stating that "language knowledge is collocational knowledge" (p. 318).

In the linguistic and lexicographic literature, the term 'collocations' is often discussed in contrast with free word combinations and idioms. Hsu (2002) proposes that if we consider a continuum for word combinations in which idioms are situated on one extreme and free word combinations on the other, collocations fall somewhere in the middle. It means that collocations are "the combinations of the syntagmatic restrictions of idioms and the semantic transparency of free word combinations" (p.18).

Siepmann (2005a, p. 418) holds that free word combinations are combinations of two semantically autonomous words, whereas collocations are the combination of a semantically autonomous and a semantically dependent lexical item. Nesselhauf (2003) makes a distinction between collocations and idioms on the basis of the notion of restricted sense. Based on her definition, collocations (e.g. *take a picture*) are those combinations in which only one of the words like the verb is restricted and the other word, e.g. the noun, is used in an unrestricted sense. In other words, the verb can only be combined with certain nouns (*take a picture/photograph*; but not *take a film or movie*). But in idioms (e.g. *sweeten the pill*), both words (the verb and the noun) must be used in a restricted sense, so it is either impossible or only possible to an extremely limited degree to use substitution.

According to Siepmann (2005b), collocations have been approached from three main angles. These include the semantically-based approach, the frequency-oriented approach, and the pragmatic approach. Siepmann claims that the semantically-based approach emphasizes the lexical relationship between the collocational constituents. The frequency-oriented approach looks at statistically significant co-occurrences of two or more words. In the pragmatic approach, the grammatical irregularities and non-compositionality of such expressions are functionally subordinate to pragmatic regularities which determine the relationship between the situational context and linguistic forms (Feilke, 2003, cited in Siepmann, 2005b).

## **Collocational problems**

Many scholars maintain that collocational knowledge differentiates native speakers from second language learners (Nesselhauf, 2003; Li, 2005; Huang, 2007; Hsu & Chiu, 2008). Erroneous utterances such as \*the manager of the university, \*to take fish, \*heavy tea and \*to be bad in something are not due to poor lexical or grammatical knowledge. These problems arise partly from lack of knowledge about the company that words keep, i.e., collocations. One form of collocational problem is when two words are synonyms or near synonyms like baggage and luggage, but only one of them can be modified by an adjective like emotional. This means that substitution of the words which are synonymous does not always produce acceptable combinations. This is an intralingual problem. The other type of problem is interlingual; that is, problems caused by the differences between collocations from one language to another. Pearce (2001) gives an example of this problem: a clear road in English is a free road in Greek. Similarly, a heavy drinker in English is a strong glass in Greek. This problem occurs because of the negative transfer of L1 features.

### **Categories of Collocations**

According to Zarei (2002), there are two general types of collocations including grammatical and lexical collocations. Grammatical collocations are the combination of a content or dominant word (verb, noun or adjective) and a grammatical or function word (preposition or structural pattern). In contrast to grammatical collocations, lexical collocations do not contain grammatical elements. Lexical collocations consist of a group of words with a certain meaning which occur together. Lexical collocations also include words which have "an approximately equal status" (Jänecke & Lindner, 2006, p. 6).

Lewis (2000) believes that "lexical collocations are the combination of two equal lexical components (e.g. *an evasive answer*), while grammatical collocations combine a lexical word, typically a noun, a verb or an adjective with a grammatical word" (p. 133). Benson, Benson and Lison (1997) divide lexical collocations into the following categories.

**Table 1**Categories of Lexical Collocations

1. Verb + Noun (creation)	e.g.: make impression, compose music
2. Verb + Noun (eradication)	e.g.: break a code, reverse a decision
3. Adjective + Noun	e.g.: strong tea, weak tea
4. Noun + Verb (an action)	e.g.: bees buzz, bomb explodes
5. Noun + Noun	e.g.: a pack of dogs, a box of matches
6. Adverb + Adjective	e.g.: strictly accurate, sound asleep
7. Verb + Adverb	e.g.: argue heatedly, appreciate sincerely

Words can combine with each other under one of these categories. The present study seeks to investigate which of the above kinds of collocations are more problematic for Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English to comprehend and produce.

Several studies have investigated the collocational knowledge of EFL learners. Bahns and Eldaw (1993) assessed the collocational knowledge of German advanced EFL learners. In their study, which focused on 15 verb-noun collocations in English, Bahns and Eldaw used a translation and a cloze task. They came to the conclusion that EFL learners have insufficient knowledge of English collocations.

Farghal and Obiedat (1995) compared the collocational knowledge of 34 advanced EFL learners and 23 English language teachers in Jordan. Two different questionnaires were administered to these two groups. A fill-in-the-blank test, in English, was given to the participants in the first group and a translation test, in Arabic, was administered to the teachers in the second one. They found that not only advanced EFL learners but also English language teachers were deficient in their collocational knowledge.

Gitsaki (1996) investigated the relationship between the collocational knowledge of Greek EFL learners and their level of proficiency. 275 learners at three proficiency levels participated in the study. Three types of tasks were used: essay writing elicited the free production of collocations while translation and fill-in-the-blank tasks measured the accuracy of the learners' collocational knowledge

in cued production tasks. Analysis of the data showed that learners' collocational knowledge increased as the level of proficiency increased.

Howarth (1998) compared English native speakers' writing with near-native ones. The writings of the students were given to a native speaker evaluator. The participants were postgraduate students with different backgrounds at British universities. Analysis of the data revealed that both native speakers and near-native speakers had problems in semantic and syntactic areas, but only near-native speakers made wrong choices and used nonstandard collocations.

The findings of Barfield's (2002) study support Bahns and Eldaw's (1993) viewpoints. Barfield conducted a similar study with Japanese learners of English. He had two groups in which ten students participated. The experiment focused on the learners' use of verb-noun collocations. Based on the collocational errors of the learners, he concluded that insufficient knowledge of the verb component of a collocation impeded the recognition of collocations.

Zarei and Koosha (2003) looked at Iranian advanced learners' problems with the production of English lexical collocations. Their study was divided into two phases: in the first phase, they focused on the collocational errors which were extracted from 2400 pages of materials produced in English by Iranians. By analysing the list of collocational errors extracted from the production of 27 high-proficiency level Iranians, they found five patterns of collocations which were more problematic. In the second phase, six cued production tasks were given to 64 participants. Their analysis led them to conclude that about 55% of the time, Iranian advanced learners of English had difficulties in their production of English collocations.

In a similar study, Nesselhauf (2003) analysed 32 essays which were written by German speaking learners of English. She used three steps in her investigation. The first step was based on extracting the verb-object-noun combinations from the essays. Then, she classified them on the basis of their degree of restrictions, i.e. free combinations (F), restricted collocations (RC) and idioms (I) and, in the last step, she evaluated their acceptability in English. She extracted 1072 verb-object-noun combinations from the learners' essays, and divided them into 846 free combinations, 213 restricted collocations, and 13 idioms. She found that about 255 out of 1072 of these combinations had several mistakes and that the most frequent

mistake was the wrong choice of verbs. She found that the most important reason in making these mistakes was related to the influence of the learners' first language.

The result of Nesselhauf's (2003) research was confirmed in Zinkgraf's (2008) study, in which he analysed the inappropriate verb-noun collocations which Spanish EFL university students produced in their writing. Zinkgraf collected the verb-noun miscollocations which the 102 learners created in their writing assignments (essays, reviews and reading comprehension) and analysed these errors to find their source. The results showed that learners had a deficiency in producing acceptable collocations and that the influence of the learners' mother tongue was the major reason for such errors.

Li (2005) investigated how Taiwanese learners of English used collocations in their writing. Li gathered and read two compositions of each participant. Then, she identified, categorized and analysed their collocational errors. As a result, she found 189 collocational errors (122 errors were grammatical collocational errors and 67 errors were lexical). She showed that the number of grammatical collocational errors which the participants made was far greater than the number of lexical collocational errors. She believed that the most important source of these errors was the ignorance of rule restrictions.

Siik (2006) used two lexical collocation tests before and after a treatment to measure the effect of teaching lexical collocations on the collocational knowledge of 28 Malaysian EFL learners. He also employed pre-and-posttest essay writing to examine the relationship between the participants' collocational knowledge and the quality of their writing. The experimental group used the Lexical Approach, while the control group focused on individual words. Results showed that collocational knowledge of the learners improved in the experimental group.

Huang (2007) conducted a study on the relationship between the collocational competence of EFL learners and their proficiency. The participants in the experimental group received collocational instruction. Before they received instruction, Huang administered a pretest and compared the results with those of a posttest in reading proficiency which was given to the students in both the experimental and control groups at the end of instruction. The aim of the post-test was to measure the development of the students' reading proficiency. In the comparison between the students' pre- and post-tests, Huang found out that the

students who were in the experimental group made greater progress in their reading proficiency than those in the control group.

Hsu and Chiu (2008) verified the extent to which the knowledge and use of lexical collocations in English related to the speaking proficiency of EFL learners. They found that there was a significant correlation between Taiwanese EFL learners' knowledge of lexical collocations and their speaking proficiency. The findings also showed that no significant correlation existed between the learners' use of lexical collocations and their speaking proficiency. In addition, it was concluded that there was no significant correlation between the subjects' knowledge and the use of lexical collocations.

Jukneviciene (2008) compared the ability of Lithuanian learners of English to produce the collocations of high-frequency verbs or de-lexicalized verbs (e.g., have, take, do, give) and native ones. Data for this research were extracted from the essays which were written by the native and non-native learners. The number of collocations of de-lexicalized verbs, which were collected from the native learners' essays, was 386 while that of non-native ones was 263. Lithuanian learners of English used collocations less than the native speakers and created erroneous collocations by resorting to their L1 knowledge in creating English collocations.

From the studies mentioned above, it can be concluded that English language learners, either intermediate or advanced, have problems in producing English collocations. One of the major reasons for this problem, as mentioned above, is their lack of collocational awareness. According to Higuchi (1999), one way to solve the lack of this awareness is by highlighting the role of collocations in EFL classrooms and teaching them from the early stages of instruction. To make more informed decisions as to how to provide learners with instruction, however, one needs to have a clearer picture of the nature of collocational problems and the way they might affect the linguistic performance of language learners. The aim of the present study, therefore, is to find out which of the patterns of lexical collocations pose the greatest level of challenge to Iranian learners' comprehension and production of English collocations. It is an attempt to answer the following research questions:

1. Are there any significance differences in the recognition of various patterns of lexical collocations by Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English?

2. Are there any significance differences in the production of various patterns of lexical collocations by Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English?

#### Method

### **Participants**

The participants in the present study were 34 male and female BA level senior English language translation students at Imam Khomeini International University (IKIU) in Qazvin, Iran. Initially, there were 39 participants. However, data from five of the participants were excluded from analyses either because their proficiency level was different or because they failed to complete their cooperation by being absent for one of the exams.

#### **Instruments**

The data collection instruments utilized in this study included the following: A TOEFL proficiency test containing 60 items in multiple-choice format was used to determine the participants' level of proficiency. Two post-tests were also used: a multiple-choice test of collocational knowledge, containing 56 items, was administered to measure the recognition of various collocational patterns. A fill-in-the-blank test, consisting of 111 items, was given to the participants after the recognition test in order to gauge their production of the collocational patterns. Both tests were largely adapted from Zarei (2002).

#### **Procedures and Data Analysis**

Initially, to homogenize the participants, a 60-item multiple-choice proficiency test (TOEFL) was administered. Results showed that, apart from a few students who answered most of the questions correctly, the scores of the other participants were close. Next, the multiple-choice posttest was given to the participants to measure their recognition (Appendix A). Finally, the production test of lexical collocations was administered in fill-in-the-blank format to measure the participants' production of different patterns of lexical collocations (Appendix B). In this test, sentences with a blank were given to the participants to complete. This test took around 80 minutes. Since there were unequal numbers of items on each pattern of collocations, items were weighed differently so that in each pattern the maximum possible score was 30.

To answer the research questions and to analyse the data, two independent oneway ANOVA procedures were utilized, one to investigate the possible differences in the recognition of different patterns of lexical collocations and the other to investigate the production of the same patterns.

### **Results and Discussions**

The first research question sought to investigate the differences in the recognition of various patterns of lexical collocations. To this end, a one-way ANOVA procedure was used. Descriptive statistics, including the mean, standard deviation, etc. are given in Table 2.

Table 2
Descriptive Statistics on the Learners' Recognition of Lexical Collocation

Pattern	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std deviation
Verb + noun 1	34	00	20.00	9.50	5.81
Verb + noun 2	34	00	25.00	8.33	8.20
Adj + noun	34	2.78	22.22	13.64	5.40
Noun + verb	34	00	20.83	8.94	5.72
Adv + adj	34	00	25.00	7.72	6.89
Verb + adv	34	00	16.67	8.66	5.83
Noun + noun	34	00	25.00	13.11	6.89

A glance at Table 2 shows that pattern three (adjective + noun) has the highest mean, followed closely by the last pattern (noun + noun). The mean score on the fifth pattern (adverb + adjective) is noticeably lower than that of the other groups. Still, to see whether or not the observed differences are statistically significant, the one-way ANOVA procedure was utilized, yielding the following results.

Table 3

ANOVA on the Learners' Recognition of Lexical Collocations

	Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig
Bewteen groups	1158.90	6	163.15	3.91	0.07
Within groups	9636.82	231	41.71		
Total	10795.73	237			

Table 3 indicates that the differences among the means of the seven groups of lexical collocations are not statistically significant. This means that different patterns of lexical collocations have no significant effect on the learners' recognition. Despite this, as Table 2 shows, the performance of the participants on

[ Downloaded from c4i2016.khu.ac.ir on 2024-12-22 ]

'adjective + noun' and 'noun + noun' patterns is noticeably better than that of the other patterns. In other words, these categories of lexical collocations are relatively easier for the learners to recognize than the other patterns. The significance level in Table 3 (0.07) confirms this trend.

The aim of the second question was to investigate the differences among the participants in their production of different patterns of lexical collocations. To this end, another one-way ANOVA was used. Table 4 contains the descriptive statistics.

 Table 4

 Descriptive Statistics on the Learners' Production of Lexical Collocations

Pattern	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. deviation
Verb + noun 1	34	3.03	21.21	12.03	5.19
Verb + noun 2	34	0.00	22.22	11.00	5.50
Adj + noun	34	1.59	20.63	9.94	4.42
Noun + verb	34	0.00	20.83	5.51	5.79
Noun + noun	34	2.56	19.66	11.33	4.22
Adv + adj	34	0.00	22.22	11.27	5.88
Verb + adv	34	3.70	25.93	14.48	5.26

It can be seen that the last category of lexical collocations (verb + adverb) causes fewer problems for Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English. It can also be seen that the mean score of the participants on the fourth pattern (noun + verb) is conspicuously lower than that of the rest. Another one-way ANOVA was utilized to see the extent to which the observed differences among the means are statistically significant. The results of the analysis are presented in Table 5.

Table 5
ANOVA Results on the Learners' Production of Lexical Collocations

	Sum of squares	Df	Mean	F	Sig.
Between groups	1485.13	6	247.52	8.90	0.00
Within groups	6421.15	231	27.79		
Total	7906.29	237			

As can be seen in Table 5, the observed F value and the significance level are indicative of significant differences among the groups. The post-hoc comparisons of means helped locate the differences as shown in the following table.

**Table 6**Multiple Comparisons of Means for the Learners' Production ANOVA

Multiple Comparisons of Means for the Learners' Production ANOVA						
Number of	Group (I)	Group (J)	Mean	Std.	Sig.	
Comparisons			Difference	Error		
			( <b>I-J</b> )			
1	Verb+noun1	Verb+noun2	1.48	1.28	.970	
2	Verb+noun2	Adj+noun	.36	1.27	1.000	
3	Adj+noun	Noun+verb	4.67	1.26	.039*	
4	Noun+verb	Noun+noun	-5.82	1.27	.003*	
5	Noun+noun	Adv+adj	.05	1.28	1.000	
6	Adv+adj	Verb+adv	-3.11	1.27	.435	
7	Verb+adv	Verb+noun2	3.84	1.27	.178	
8	Verb+noun1	Adj+noun	1.84	1.26	.909	
9	Verb+noun2	Noun+verb	5.03	1.28	.021*	
10	Adj+noun	Noun+noun	-1.14	1.26	.991	
11	Noun+verb	Adv+adj	-5.76	1.28	.004*	
12	Noun+noun	Verb+adv	-3.05	1.26	.450	
13	Adv+adj	Verb+noun2	.72	1.26	.999	
14	Verb+adv	Adj+noun	4.20	1.26	.090	
15	Verb+noun1	Noun+verb	6.51	1.27	.000*	
16	Verb+noun2	Noun+noun	78	1.28	.999	
17	Adj+noun	Adv+adj	-1.09	1.27	.994	
18	Noun+verb	Verb+adv	-8.87	1.26	.000*	
19	Noun+noun	Verb+noun1	69	1.27	1.000	
20	Adv+adj	Verb+noun1	75	1.28	.999	
21	Verb+adv	Verb+noun1	2.35	1.26	.750	

<sup>\*</sup>the mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level

The figures in Table 6 indicate that although the participants' performance on patterns 1, 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 is not significantly different, they have performed significantly worse on pattern number 4 (noun + verb). In other words, the 'noun +

verb' pattern is the most problematic pattern of lexical collocations for Iranian learners' production.

In short, the above results indicate that Iranian upper-intermediate learners of English have fewer problems with the recognition of lexical collocations like 'noun + noun' and 'adjective + noun' while they experience greater difficulties in the production of the 'noun + verb' pattern. This probably implies that those patterns of lexical collocations that pose greater levels of challenge to Iranian learners, including those mentioned above, demand increased levels of attention. In other words, both syllabus designers and teachers can make use of the findings of the present study to prepare and present materials that can raise the learners' awareness of the collocational properties of lexical items.

The results of the present study are in accordance with a number of previous studies (e.g. Bahns & Eldaw, 1993; Howarth, 1998; Higuchi, 1999; Nesselhauf, 2003; Li, 2005; Huang, 2007; Hsu & Chiu, 2008) which support the present findings in that they all emphasize the learners' problems with the production of lexical collocations. But the patterns which cause problems for learners in this study slightly differ from those of other studies. For instance, in Zarei's (2002) study, the 'noun + noun' pattern was the most difficult pattern for advanced Iranian learners, whereas this study suggests that the 'noun + verb' pattern is the most problematic. One of the possible reasons for such differences may be partially attributable to the different linguistic background of the participants in this study in comparison with other studies. Another possible reason could be the differences in the proficiency level of the participants in this study. It is possible that higher proficiency-level learners, due to longer exposure to certain patterns, develop greater familiarity with those patterns compared to other patterns which are less frequent. This, of course, requires that we first understand the frequency with which each of the patterns studied here occur in English. In other words, the performance of the participants in the present study on the different patterns of lexical collocations could be partially related to the frequency with which each pattern occurs in authentic texts. If, for instance, corpus analyses were done, or if their results were available, better and more informed judgements could be made as to how frequency of exposure to certain patterns could affect learners' performance on receptive and productive tests. Nevertheless, these areas of conflict are probably indicative of the need for further research.

Received 13 June 2010 Revised version accepted 8 September 2010

#### References

- Bahns, J., & Eldaw, M. (1993). Should We Teach EFL Students Collocations?, *System*, 21(1), 101-114.
- Barfield, A. (2002). Knowledge Scaling of Lexical Verbs: Creating a Database, *Studies In Foreign Language Teaching*, 24, 221-238.
- Benson, M., Benson, E., & Lison, R. (1997). *The BBI Dictionary of English Word Combinations*. Amsterdam: John Benjamin.
- Farghal, M., & Obiedat, H. (1995). Collocations: A Neglected Variable in EFL, International Review of Applied Linguistics in Language Teaching, 33 (4), 315-331.
- Gitsaki, CH. (1996). *The Development of ESL Collocational Knowledge*. A Thesis submitted for a PhD in the Centre for Language Teaching and Research at the University of Queensland.
- Higuchi, M. (1999). Collocational Problems in EFL Learning. (pp. 45-56). Retrieved from: http://bambi.u-shizuoka-ken.ac.jp
- Hill, J. (2000). Revising priorities: from grammatical failure to collocational success. In M. Lewis (Eds.), *Teaching collocation: Further developments in the Lexical approach* (pp. 47-69). Hove, East Sussex: Language Teaching Publication.
- Howarth, P. (1998). Phraseology and Second Language Proficiency, *Applied Linguistics*, 19 (1), 24-44.
- Hsu, J. (2002). Development of Collocational Proficiency in a Workshop on English for General Bussiness Purposes for Taiwanese College Students. India University of Pennsylvania.

- Hsu, J., & Chiu, C.H. (2008). Lexical Collocations and their Relation to Speaking Proficiency of the College EFL Learners in Taiwan, Asian EFL Journals, 10 (1), 181-204.
- Huang, L. (2007). Knowledge of English Collocations: An Analysis of Taiwanese EFL Learners. In C. Luke and B. Rubrecht (Eds.), Texas Papers in Foreign Language Education: Selected Proceedings from the Texas Foreign Language Education Conference (pp. 113-132). Texas: Texas University, Austin.
- Jing, M. (2008). Erroneous Collocations Caused by Language Transfer in Chinese EFL Writing, US-China Foreign Language, 6 (9), 57-61.
- Jukneviciene, R. (2008). Collocations with High-Frequency Verbs in Learner English: Lithuanian Learners versus Native Speakers, Kalbotyra, 59 (3), 119-127.
- Lewis, M. (2000). Teaching collocation: Further developments in the Lexical Approach. Hove, East Sussex: Language Teaching Publications.
- Li, C. (2005). A Study of Collocational Error Types in ESL/EFL College Learners' Writing. Retrieved from: http://tangra.si.umich.edu.
- Nation, P. (2001). Learning Vocabulary in Another Language. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Nesselhauf, N. (2003). The Use of Collocations by Advanced Learners of English and Some Implications for Teaching, Applied Linguistics, 24 (2), 223-242.
- Otani, H. (2005). Investigating Intercollocations Towards an Archaeology of Text, International Journal of Lexicography, 18 (1), 1-24.
- Pearce, D. (2001). Synonymy in Collocation Extraction. School of Cognitive and Computing Sciences (COGS). University of Sussex.
- Siepmann, D. (2005a). Collocation, Colligation and Encoding Dictionaries: Part 1: Lexicological aspects, International Journal of Lexicography, 18(4), 409-443.

- Siepmann, D. (2005b). Collocation, Colligation and Encoding Dictionaries: Part 2: Lexicological aspects, *International Journal of Lexicography*, 19 (1), 1-39.
- Siik, S. (2006). The Teaching of Lexical Collocations and its Effects on the Quality of Essays and Knowledge of Collocations. Malaysia: Malaysia University.
- Tutin, A. (2008) For an Extended Definition of Lexical Collocations. Retrieved from: http://www.u-grenoble3.fr.
- Zarei, A. (2002). What is wrong with collocations? An Investigation of the Iranian Advanced Learners' Problems with English Collocations, Journal of Humanities of University of Sistan and Balouchestan, 7(18), 240-280
- Zarei, A., & Koosha, M. (2003). Patterns of Iranian Advanced Learners' Problems with English Collocations: A Focus on Lexical Collocations, Iranian Journal of *Applied Linguistics*, 6 (1), 137-169.
- Zinkgraf, M. (2008). V+N Miscollocations in the Written Production of University Level Students, ELIA, 8, 91-116.

## Appendix A **Recognition Test of Lexical Collocations**

### A. Choose the adjective that can best collocate with the given nouns.

b. sick	c. diseased	d. unwholesome
b. ill	c. morbid	d. diseased
b. sick	c. sickly	d. morbid
b. diseased	c. morbid	d. sickly
e		
b. generous	c. profuse	d. lush
	b. ill b. sick b. diseased	b. ill c. morbid b. sick c. sickly b. diseased c. morbid

Ü
4
Ö
$\overline{}$
4
$\sim$
Ö
Ö
on
c.ir
khu.a
16.
016
_
0
20
4120
rom c4i20
rom c4i20
d from c4i20
d from c4i20
loaded from c4i20
aded from c4i20
loaded from c4i20
loaded from c4i20
wnloaded from c4i20
wnloaded from c4i20

	a. generous	b. profuse	c. lush	d. lavish
	a. profuse green grass	b. generous	c. lavish	d. lush
0.	a. lush		vish d	l. generous
B. Cho	oose the verb(s) whic	h do NOT norm	ally collocate wi	th the bold noun.
	Ie an argum			
	a. got into			d. both a & c
10. I	He had to do two jobs	to his d	ebts.	
	a. pay up	b. pay of	c. clear	d. both a & b
11. \$	Someone the	suggestion that w	e should have an	auction.
	a. came up with	b. presented	c. put forward	d. both b & c
12. 7	The scientists failed to	any fir	m conclusions fro	om the study.
	a. arrive at	b. decide	c. draw	d. both a & b
13. 7	The company	a deal with the	union after length	y negotiations.
	a. agreed	b. struck	c. came to	d. both b & c
14. <i>A</i>	A meeting has been	for next v	veek	
	a. programmed  The supervisor refused	b arranged	c. scheduled	d. both a & c
15. 7	The supervisor refused	d to the	blame for the acc	cident.
	a. accept He his finge	b. receive	c. shoulder	d. both b & c
16. I	He his finge	ers nervously on th	he desk as he spo	ke.
	a. tapped	o. drummed	c. rattled	d. both b & c
17. V	We on a trip			
	a. did	b. took	c. went	d. both a & b
18. I	put up my hand to	my eyes	from the sun.	
	a. shade	b. shield	c. shelter	d. both a & c
C. Cho adjecti	oose the appropriate ives.	adverbs that car	n best collocate	with the given
	m not conce			
	a. excessively b			d. greatly
20. \$	She is prov			
	a. justly b			
21 I	He seems 11	naware of the tro	uble be's caused	

22. The world of fashion is fickle. a. obviously b. notoriously c. openly d. overtly  23. The street was silent after the explosion. a. mysteriously b. strangely c. eerily d. frighteningly  24. She seemed composed, despite the pressure. a. outwardly b. apparently c. evidently d. seemingly  25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly  26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly  E. Choose the BEST alternative.	<ol> <li>a. completely</li> </ol>	b. totally	<ul><li>c. obviously</li></ul>	d. blissfully
a. obviously 23. The street was silent after the explosion. a. mysteriously b. strangely c. eerily d. frighteningly 24. She seemed composed, despite the pressure. a. outwardly b. apparently c. evidently d. seemingly 25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly 26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely 28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly 29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly 30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	22. The world of fashion	is fickle	e.	
a. mysteriously b. strangely c. eerily d. frighteningly  24. She seemed composed, despite the pressure. a. outwardly b. apparently c. evidently d. seemingly  25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly  26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. obviously	b. notoriously	c. openly	d. overtly
a. mysteriously  24. She seemed composed, despite the pressure. a. outwardly b. apparently c. evidently d. seemingly  25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly  26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. b. brutally c. markedly	23. The street was	_ silent after the	e explosion.	
a. outwardly b. apparently c. evidently d. seemingly  25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly  26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	<ol> <li>a. mysteriously</li> </ol>	b. strangely	c. eerily	d. frighteningly
25. Her voice sounded familiar to me. a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly 26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely 28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly 29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly 30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	24. She seemed	composed, despi	te the pressure.	
a. strangely b. oddly c. unusually d. surprisingly  26. The former chairman was absent from the guest list. a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. borutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. outwardly	b. apparently	c. evidently	d. seemingly
a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely 28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly 29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly 30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	25. Her voice sounded	familiar to	o me.	
a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely 28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly 29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly 30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. strangely l	b. oddly	c. unusually	d. surprisingly
a. obviously b. clearly c. frankly d. conspicuously  D. In each sentences, choose the adverb that is NOT a common collocate of the verb.  27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely 28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly 29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly 30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	26. The former chairman	was ab	sent from the gue	st list.
27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. obviously	b. clearly	c. frankly	d. conspicuously
27. She argued about her right to compensation. a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly				
27. She argued about her right to compensation.  a. hotly	The state of the s	ose the adverb th	at is NOT a com	mon collocate of the
a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	verb.			
a. hotly b. heatedly c. fiercely  28. They will defend their rights. a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	27 Cha around	ah asst han ni aht t		
28. They will defend their rights.  a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her.  a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map.  a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance.  a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be.  a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	27. She argued	about her right to	o compensation.	
a. heatedly b. fiercely c. hotly  29. He grinned at her. a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly				
29. He grinned at her.  a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map.  a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance.  a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be.  a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	28. They will (	defend their rights.		
a. wolfishly b. owlishly c. sheepishly  30. I confessed to having forgotten the map. a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly			c. notly	
30. I confessed to having forgotten the map.  a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully  31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance.  a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be.  a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	29. He grinned	at ner.	1 '11	
a. sheepishly b. ruefully c. woefully 31. His frugal lifestyle contrasted with her wife extravagance. a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally 32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly				
a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	30. 1 confessed	to having forgott	en the map.	
a. markedly b. starkly c. brutally  32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. sheepishly	b. ruefully	c. woefully	
32. Her tragic story illustrates how vulnerable children can be. a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	31. His frugal lifestyle co	ontrasted	with her wife ext	travagance.
a. starkly b. brutally c. markedly	a. markedly	b. starkly	c. brutally	1 1
	32. Her tragic story	illustrates ho	w vulnerable child	dren can be.
E. Choose the BEST alternative.	a. starkly	b. brutally	c. markedly	
E. Choose the BES1 alternative.	E Characte DECT - 14	<b>4</b> •		
	E. Choose the BES1 after	nauve.		
33. The taxi to a halt at the crossing.	33 The taxi to	a halt at the	crossing	
a. brought/ pedestrian  b. screeched/zebra				ra .
c. screamed/ zebra d. brought/foot		w		
34. I petrol and had to a lift to the nearest garage.		had to	•	
a. finished the/ hitch  b. used up the/ hitch-hike				
c. ran out of/ thumb  d. finished the/ hitch-hike				

(		`	١
Ì			N
c	-		Ì
,			
•	`	4	ļ
è			١
	=		j
	ş		
	١		•
	:		
	(		1
	è	7	
	,		
	ć		
_	À	Ų	,
		,	
`	4		
è	-		•
ì			į
•			
٦	1	Ņ	Į
	`		
	۶		
	č		
,	1		
`	1		
	7		
	0	7	
	Ċ		
•	,		
	•	5	
		2	۱
	9		

c. a fair beer
d. a fair result
56. a. a rich company
b. a rich desert
c. a rich car
d. a rich text file

5	//-/
ŕ	
`	ı
C	\
÷	_
	ı
_	J
c	`
C	3
č	
•	
9	
7	-
•	٠
;	-
٠,	-
6	•
ì	₹
•	•
-	
í	-
٦	
_	_
И	
_	_
_	-
õ	7
١.	_
Ψ,	4
7	Y
١,	•
9	
ş	
(	Ξ
. 3	
4	-
7	-
- >	ï
_	
٠.	_
٩	7.
(	
_	ĺ
\$	
- 5	₹
•	2
(	
-	۰

48. We were supposed to the essay by Friday but I is	n late.
a. do/ delivered it b. do/ gave it	
c. compose/ handed it d. wrote/ delivered it	
49. Several villages have been by the floods in the d	ecades.
a. inundated/ deepest b. soaked/ severest	
c. soaked/ deepest d. inundated/ severest	
50. The city was by earthquake shortly after midnight	
a. affected/ an enormous b. affected/ a massive	
c. struck/ a massive d. struck/ an enormous	
51. The forest fires, by warm winds, out of control fo	r weeks.
a. fanned/ ragged b. blown/ ragged	
c. blown/ flared d. fanned/ flared	
52. The volcano, which has been for 50 years, began	late last
night.	
a. dormant/ erupting b. dormant/ exploding	
c. inactive/ erupting d. inactive/ exploding	
F. In each question, which of the following items is NOT possible.	
1. In each question, which of the following items is 140 1 possible.	
53. a. a strong exam	
b. a strong woman	
c. a strong cheese	
d. a strong cigarette	
54. a. a heavy smoker	
b. heavy rain	
c. heavy metal	
d. a heavy problem	
55. a. fair hair	
b. a fair decision	

## Appendix B

### **Production Test of Lexical Collocations**

#### A. Fill in the blanks with suitable verbs. 1. He ... her and did not let her finish her speech. 2. The strike the production. 3. The thieves ... the house looking for valuables. through my papers, you will put them out of order. 4. Please don't ... 5. She was of all her jewelry while she slept. ing and looting wherever they go. 6. The troops are . . . 7. Our house was while we were on holiday. ... 8. He took an that he would never help her again. ... 9. It surprised me to of her decision. . . . 10. During the election about 28 million people ... their ballots. 11. You a film on TV, but you ... it in the cinema. . . . EX: as light as a feather. B. Supply proper adjectives. Follow the model. as a bat as a bee . . . as a bell as a daisy as as as a mule as as an eel as ... as an owl as brass as as as as a priest as as a lamb . . . . . . as a wolf as a scarecrow as as ...

## C. Use adjectives that can go with the given nouns and can be translated as '

z z	l					
Some		butter	some	•		cream
Some		eggs	some	e		milk
		bacons				brains
what a		weather	I have a		tooth.	
Α		cabbage				

## D. Fill in the blanks with appropriate measure words. Example: a box of matches

1. a	 of soap	2. a	 of sardines
3. a	 of paper	4. a	 of lamb
5. a	 of beef	6. a	 of bread

## E. Fill in the blanks with suitable words having the general meaning of 'group'.

a	• • •	of fish	a		of sheep
a	•••	of cows	a	•••	of whales
a	•••	of lions	a	•••	of beautiful girls
a	•••	of bananas		a	 of flowers
a		of keys		a	 of thugs
a		of newspapers		a	 of sticks
a	•••	of grapes		a	 of nerves
a		of chairs		a	 of dishes
a		of hills			

## F. Supply words that have the general meaning of 'head' or 'boss'

the	 of the bank	the		of the department
the	 of the college	the		of the university
the	 of the high school	the		of the faculty
the	 of the police	the		of staff
the	 of the hotel	the En	gland f	ootball

# G. Fill in the blanks with words which have the general meaning of 'mass' or 'piece'

For	example:	a slice of toast		
a		of dough	a	 of wood
a		of cake	a	 of glass
a		of china	a	 of melon

## H. Do you know what sounds these animals make?

dogs	owls	lions
mice	lambs	donkeys
crickets	camels	bears
apes	bulls	jackals
pigeons turkeys	ravens	snakes

## I. Fill in the blanks with suitable verbs which can convey the meanings specified.

	_	1
0	_	1
'	ì	١
•	ς.	j
;	_	
	1	
7	₹	H
0	_	j
è	-	٠
7	₹	í
'		4
	2	
	20 11 00	1
	=	
	:	ż
	5	4
	C	3
	_	ì
	7	
•	À.	7
7	_	
١	C	
٦	Ξ	
	_	
ì	Ξ	j
ì	7	1201021
•	7	į
·	7	1
•	7 177	5
•	1 CA1 /	5
·	7 TV	5
	rom c417	
`	trom c417	5
· ·	1 trom 0417	
`	Po trom c417	
``	Trom c417	
	And trom	
	And trom	
· · ·	And trom	
	And trom	

ا پوسیدن )	( درخسیدن ) II
Teeth	Stars
Leaves	A candle flame in the breeze.
Societies	A white-hot coal on a fire
Potatoes	A gold object
	A diamond necklace
J. For each group, find an adverb whi	ch collocates with all the adjective in the
group.	· ·
1 aware, honest, shy, slow	
2 boring, funny, good, right	
3 different, odd, uncomfortab	le, uneasy
4 competitive, independent, lo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
5 inaccurate, inadequate, offe	• •
6 enthusiastic, inaccurate, opt	
/ I	/ 1 · 1
K. Fill in the blanks with appropriate	adverbs.
1. The vase fell over Sarah's head and	
	vant him to pay for everything he's
done!	1 7 ==== 7 8
3. Somebody should wake that man u	np. He's really snoring .
4. I'm sorry. I forgot your birtho	-
5. You are stubborn! Why can't	•
6. There's so much fog outside. I can	
7. Drive It's raining and we do	
	en computer? I don't want it; it's
useless.	
	t that learners learn more effectively when
given positive feedback.	
51. th positive recodencia	