I Javad Laali: Teacher Training University

#### **Abstract**

I In Banach algebras, the group algebra L(G) is Arens regular if and only if G is finite. In this paper, the researcher has obtained a hypergroup structure (in the sense of Dunkl) whose measure algebra has regular multiplication. The most interesting result was that if  $L(X)$  is Arens regular then the convolution is Arens regular as a bilinear map. The condition obtained gives regularity of multiplication in the Hypergroup, which X is not finite.

## **Introduction**

The regularity of a bounded bilinear mapping was defined by Arens (see [1]). For some important Banach algebras, the first and the second Arens product, on their second dual, are different. Therefore, these algebras are not Arens regular. A number of Banach algebras, commonly occuring in functional and harmonic analysis, are not Arens regular. The group algebra Ll(G)of a locally compact Hausdorff group is Arens regular if and only if G is finite (see [4], [13], [14]). In [9], the researcher has shown that the hypergroup algebra  $L(X)$ , where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, can be Arens regular without X being finite. Also, in [10], for a general measure algebra  $\pounds$ , in M(X), if  $e \in X$  is not isolated in supp £, and that  $\delta_e$  acts as an identity for £, then £, is not Arens regular. These are not the only ways to construct the regular or irregular multiplications. In [8], for the circle group T, two multiplications have been constructed on M(T), one of which is regular and the other is irregular. In the present note, we obtain a hypergroup structure whose measure algebra has regular multiplication. Some related results can be

<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics subject clasificatiotl 43A10, 43A62

**Key words:** arens product, hypergroup, measure, algebra, second dual , Algebra.

found in  $[8]$ , $[9]$ , $[10]$ . Also, basic facts about measure algebras on hypergroups can be found in [6], [7], [11].

The researcher will begin with the Arens multiplications and the hypergroup structures.

### **1- Other Versions of Arens Multiplications**

In [1], Arens showed how the multiplication of a Banach algebra could be extended to a multiplication on the second dual. His method was essentially algebraic, and this is indeed the easiest way to prove that the construction works. However, we shall describe the results. See [12] for details.

Let A be a set with a multiplication  $(x, y) \rightarrow x \rightarrow y$ . Let B be a set with  $A \subseteq B$ , under its topology, A is dense in B. For x, y in B, take  $(\tau_{\alpha})$ ,  $(s_{\beta})$  in A such that  $\lim_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha} = x$ ,  $\lim_{\beta} s_{\beta} = y$ . Then, the first extension of multiplication is given by

$$
\tau_{\alpha}.y = \lim_{\beta} \tau_{\alpha}.s_{\beta}, \qquad x, y = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} \tau_{\alpha}.s_{\beta},
$$

while the second extension is given by

 $x \circ s_{\beta} = \lim_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha} s_{\beta}, \qquad x \circ y = \lim_{\alpha} \tau_{\alpha} s_{\beta}.$ 

The set A is Arens regular (or, briefly, regular) if x,  $y=x \circ y$ . By the above definition for a and b in B, the product a.b (resp. a  $\odot$  b) is continuous in the a (resp. b) variable for each fixed b (resp. a) in B. Generally, a.b (resp. a  $\odot$ b) is not continuous in b (resp. a) when  $a \notin A$  (resp.  $b \notin A$ ). This suggests the firs result about regularity, which is entirely elementary.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $A \subseteq B$  and let A be dense in B. Then.

- (i) if A is commutative then A is Arens regular if and only if B is commutative.
- (ii) A is Arens regular if and only if the multiplication in B is continuous in each variable (without any restriction on the other).
- (iii) Let B be compact. Then, A is Arens regular if and only if the multiplication in B separately sequentially continuous.

**Proof.** See [5] and [12].

**Regularity of Banach algebras 1.2.** Let A be a normed space over K (K=R or K=C). The dual space A; i.e.  $A^*$ , is the vector space  $\Lambda$   $(A^*, K)$  equipped with the norm

$$
||f|| = \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in A, ||X|| \le 1\}.
$$

Thus, A<sup>\*</sup> is a Banach space. Let  $(A^{*)^*}$  be the dual space of  $A^*$ ,  $(A^{*)^*} = \Lambda(A^*, K)$ . Since A \* is itself a Banach space, it is susceptible to the same construct; i.e. one can form  $(A^*)^* = A^*$ ; this is also a Banach space, called the dual or bidual of A, and denoted by A \*\*. This can go on.

For each  $x \in A$ , the value of an element  $x^* \in A^*$  is defined by  $x^*(f)=f(x)$  for all *f* ∈ *A*<sup>\*</sup>. So x<sup>\*\*</sup>is linear on A<sup>\*</sup>, and  $||x^{**}|| = ||x||$ . Thus, the canonical embedding mapping  $x \rightarrow x^{**}$  preserves norms and an isometric from A into its second dual A<sup>\*\*</sup>. Therefore, we can regard A as a subspace of  $A^*$ <sup>\*</sup>.

Let  $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$  be the weak<sup>\*</sup>- topology on  $A^{**}$ . By [3], A is weak<sup>\*</sup>-dense in  $A^{**}$ So, for  $F \in A^{**}$ ,  $G \in A^{**}$ , we can find two bounded nets  $(\mu_{\alpha})$ ,  $(\nu_{\beta})$  in A with  $F = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha}, G = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} \nu_{\beta}$ . The topological extension of first and second Arens product are given by

$$
FG = \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} \omega^* - \lim_{\beta} \mu_{\alpha} \nu_{\beta}, \qquad F \circ G = \omega^* - \lim_{\beta} \omega^* - \lim_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \nu_{\beta}.
$$

Thus, the order in which the limits are taken distinguishes between the extensions. Moreover, the first Arens product is characterized by the two properties:

(i) for each  $G \in A^*$ , the map F→FG is weak<sup>\*</sup>-continuous on  $A^{**}$ .

(ii) For each  $\mu \in A$ , the map  $G \rightarrow \mu G$  is weak<sup>\*</sup>- continuous on A<sup>\*\*</sup>.

The second Arens product is defined similarly. Therefore, the second dual A\*\*of A can be given the Banach algebra structure by means of the firs (or second) Arens product.

Now, we want to describe Arens products as an algebraic extension. Indeed, for F, G  $\in$  *A*<sup>\*\*</sup>, f  $\in$  *A*<sup>\*</sup>, and  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$   $\in$  *A*, one can find FG, FoG successively as follows:

$$
\langle FG, f \rangle = \langle F, Gf \rangle, \langle Gf, \mu \rangle = \langle G, f \mu \rangle, \langle f \mu, \nu \rangle = \langle f, \mu \nu \rangle, \langle F \circ G, f \rangle = \langle G, f \circ F \rangle, \langle f \circ F, \mu \rangle = \langle F, \mu \circ f \rangle, \langle \mu \circ f, \nu \rangle = \langle f, \nu \mu \rangle.
$$

So, a Banach algebra is said to have regular multiplication if  $FG=F \circ G$ .

 $A^{**}$  is not compact in the weak<sup>\*</sup>-topology. But the closed until ball of  $A^{**}$  is weakcompact [3]. So, by definition or [5], we have:

**Proposition 1.3.** Let A be commutative. A is Arens regular if and only if the first or second Arens product in A<sup>\*\*</sup> is weak<sup>\*</sup>-continuous in each variable.

**Proof.** For F, G $\in$  A<sup>\*\*</sup>, There are two nets ( $\mu_{\alpha}$ ) and ( $\nu_{\beta}$ ) in A which weak<sup>\*</sup>-converge to F and G. So, A is Arens regular if and only if  $FG=F \circ G$ . It is equivalent to this fact; for all f∈  $A^*$ ,

$$
\lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} f(\mu_{\alpha} V_{\beta}) = \lim_{\beta} \lim_{\alpha} f(\mu_{\alpha} V_{\beta}).
$$

# **2- Hypergroup Structures**

Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and  $M(X)$  denotes the set of all bounded, regular, complex Borel measures on X. For each  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in M (X),  $\mu * \nu$  denotes the convolution of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . Let<sub>o</sub>, be the unit mass at r. The product formulas of the type.

$$
\mu^* \nu(f) = \int_x \int_x (\delta_x^* \delta_y)(f) d\mu(x) d\nu(y)
$$

On M (X) becomes a Banach algebra. Dunk1 (1972) and Jewett. (1975) have shown how one defines a product on M (X), which makes it a Banach algebra. In some cases, an investigation begins with a convolution algebra of measures as the primitive object, upon which to build a theory; this is the case of the analysis of the objects called hypergroups which are generalizations of the convolution algebra of Borel measures on a group. One of the objects of this paper will be the introduction of a large class of new convolution structures, many of which are new hypergroups.

Let  $C_b (X)$ ,  $C_0 (X)$  and  $C_c (X)$  denote the spaces of continuous functions on X which are bounded, those which vanish at infinity and those having compact support respectively. By M  $(X)$  and  $M<sub>P</sub>(X)$ , we abbreviate the space of Radon measures and probability measures on X.

**Definition 2.1.** A hypergroup  $(X,*)$  is a Banach algebra of the Borel measures M  $(X)$ on a locally compact Hausdorff space X with product \* called convolution it satisfies the following axioms:

(i)There is a map  $\lambda$ :  $X \times X \to M_p(X)$  with for every  $x, y \in X$ , the measures  $\lambda_{(x,y)}$  have compact supports and  $\lambda_{(x,y)} = \lambda_{(y,x)}$ ;

(ii) for each  $f \in C_c(X)$ , the map  $(x, y) \to \lambda_{(x,y)}(\int x)$  is in  $C_b(X \times X)$  and  $r \to \lambda_{(x,y)}(\int x)$  is in  $C_c(X)$ , for every  $y \in x$ ;

(iii) the convolution  $(\mu, v) \rightarrow \mu^* v (or \mu v)$  of measures defined by  $\mu^* v(f) = \int_X \int_X \lambda_{(x,y)}(f) d\mu(x) dv(y), \qquad (\mu, v \in M(X), \int \in C_O(X))$ 

is associative (and clearly  $\lambda_{(x,y)} = \delta_x * \delta_y$ )

(iv) there is a unique e∈ X such that  $\lambda_{(x,y)} = \delta_x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

In [7], Ghahramani and Medghalchi have constructed and studied the subalgebra of  $M(X)$  which is determined in the following way:

 $L(X) = \{ \mu \in M(X) : x \to |\mu| \ast \delta, x \to \delta \ast |\mu| \text{ are norm-continuous} \}.$ 

This algebra generalizes the algebra L (G) of M (G) for the locally compact topological groups G.They have shown that  $L(X)$  is a Banach subalgebra of M  $(X)$  and it has a bounded approximate identity of norm 1. Therefore,  $L(X)$  can be regarded as a subspace of L  $(X)$ <sup>\*\*</sup> and then L  $(X)$  is weak<sup>\*</sup>-detise in L  $(X)$ <sup>\*\*</sup> in [11], Medghacli studied the second dual of  $L(X)$ .

Let A, B, C, be disjoint. And e, z be single points not in  $A \cup B \cup C$ . Write  $X = \{e\} \cup A \cup B \cup C \cup \{Z\}$ . Let X be a compact Hansdorff space with e and z as isolated pointes. Each  $\mu \in M(X)$  can be written in the unique form

$$
\mu_e \delta_e + \mu_B + \mu_e + \mu_s \delta_s
$$

where,  $\mu_A$ ,  $\mu_B$ ,  $\mu_C$  are the restriction of  $\mu$  to A, B, C, respectively, and  $\mu_e$  and  $\mu_z$  are scalars.

The following Theorem givens the structure of a hypergroup on the locally com. Pact space X.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $\lambda: A \times B \to (M_y(C), weak^*)$  be continuous and the map  $x \to \lambda_{(x,y)}(f)$ is in C<sub>c</sub>(C) for every x,  $y \in A \cup B$ ,  $f \in C(C)$  and  $\lambda(a,b) = \lambda(b,a)$  ( $a \in A, b \in B$ ). There is a hypergroup structure on X such that M (X-{e}) = L(X) if and only if  $M(A \cup B) \subseteq L(X)$ .

**Proof.** We define a multiplication on M (X) by the following way:

take a map  $\tilde{\lambda}: X \times X \to M_P(X)$  with two properties.

(i)  $\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,e)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{(e,x)} = \delta_x (x \in X);$  $(x,e)$  $\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,e)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{(e,x)} = \delta_x (x \in X)$ 

(ii) 
$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{(x,y)} & (x \in A, y \in B) \\ \delta_z & otherwise \end{cases}
$$
  
it is clear that  $\|\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)}\| = 1$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Then, we define a multiplication  $\mu v$ , *for*  $\mu$ ,  $v \in M(X)$ , *by* 

$$
\mu v = \int_X \int_X \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)} d\mu(x) d\nu(y)
$$

It is easy to show that the multiplications is commutative and is the identity. Now, we prove that it is associative.

If one of the x, y, z,  $(inX)$  is equal to e, then

$$
(\delta_x * \delta_y) * \delta_z = \delta_x * (\delta_y * \delta_x)
$$

Now, suppose that  $\mu$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $\xi$  are in M (X-{e}). Therefore,

$$
\mu = \mu_A + \mu_B + \mu_C + \mu_Z \delta_Z
$$
  
\n
$$
v = v_A + v_B + v_C + v_z \delta_z,
$$
  
\n
$$
\xi = \xi_A + \xi_B + \xi_C + \xi_z \delta_z.
$$

,

Suppose  $Y = A \cup C \cup \{z\}$ . We have

$$
\mu_A v_Y = \int_X \int_X \tilde{\lambda}(x, y) d\mu_A(x) dvY(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_X \int_X \delta_z d\mu_A(x) dvY(y)
$$
  
= 
$$
\mu_A(I) v_Y(I) \delta_Z.
$$

Similarly  $\mu_{Y} v_{A} = \mu_{Y}(1) v_{A}(1) \delta_{Z}$  and if  $T = B \cup C \cup \{z\}$ then  $\mu_{T} v_{B} = \mu_{T}(1) v_{B}(1) \delta_{Z}, \mu_{B} v_{T} = \mu_{B}(1) v_{T}(1) \delta_{Z}.$ 

Let<sup>\*\*\*</sup>'be the convolution arising from the multiplication in A and B(or in B and A) i.e.,

$$
\mu_A * v_B = \mu_A v_B = \int_X \int_X \tilde{\lambda}(x, y) d\mu_A(y) dv_B(x),
$$
  

$$
\mu_A * v_B(f) = \int_A \int_B \int_C f(t) d\lambda_{(x, y)}(t) dv_B(x) d\mu_A(y).
$$

Hence, supp  $(\mu_A * v_B) \subseteq C$ . Therefore, we have

$$
\mu v = (\mu_A + \mu_B + \mu_C + \mu_z \delta_z)(v_A + v_B + v_C + v_z \delta_z)
$$
  
=  $\mu_A v_y + \mu_A * v_B + \mu_B v_T + \mu_B * v_A + \mu_z \delta_z v$   
=  $\mu_A(1)v_y(1)\delta_z + \mu_B(1)v_T(1)\delta_z + \mu_z \delta_z(1)v(1)\delta_z + \mu_A * v_B + \mu_B * v_A$   
=  $[\mu(1)v(1) - \mu_A(1)v_B(1) - \mu_B(1)v_A(1)]\delta_z + \mu_A * v_B + \mu_B * v_A$ 

On the other hand,

$$
\mu_{A} * v_{B}(1) = \int_{A} \int_{B} \int_{C} d\lambda_{(x,y)}(t) dv_{B}(x) d\mu_{A}(y).
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{A} \int_{B} dv_{B}(x) d\mu_{A}(y) = \mu_{A}(1) v_{B}(1).
$$

Hence,

$$
(\mu \nu) \ \psi = [\mu(1)\nu(1) - \mu_A(1)\nu_B(1) - \mu_B(1)\nu_A(1)]\delta_z \ \psi + (\mu_A * \nu_A + \mu_B * \nu_A) \ \psi
$$
  
=  $\mu(1)\nu(1)\psi$  (1) $\delta_z$ .

Similarly  $\mu(v\psi) = \mu(1)v(1) \psi(1)\delta$ , So that, the multiplication is associative Now, let  $f \in C_c(X)$ , then we have

$$
\int_{X} f(t) d \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,e)}(t) = f(x),
$$
\n
$$
\int_{X} f(t) d \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)}(t) = \begin{cases} d \lambda_{(x,y)}(f) & (x \in A, y \in B) \\ f(z) & otherwise. \end{cases}
$$

Then the map  $(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,e)}$  (f) is in C<sub>b</sub> (X×X) and the map  $x \rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)}$  (f) is in C<sub>c</sub> (X) for all  $y \in X$ . Therefore, X is a hypergroup.

We now prove that L (X)=M (X-{e}). Since X is not discrete,  $\delta_e \notin L(X)$  (Theorem 2, [9]). Let  $\mu \in M$  (X-{e}). Then

 $\epsilon$ .

$$
\delta_x|\mu| = \begin{cases} |\mu| & (x = c) \\ |\mu| & (x = z). \end{cases}
$$

Now, if  $x \in X - \{e, z\}$  then,

$$
\delta_x|\mu| = \begin{cases} |\mu|(1) - |\mu|(1) \delta_z + \delta_x * |\mu| & (x = A) \\ |\mu|(1) - |\mu|(1) \delta_z + \delta_x * |\mu| & (x = B). \end{cases}
$$

Hence, for  $x=y=z$  or  $x=y=e$ ,

$$
\left\|\delta_x\big|\mu\big|-\delta_y\big|\mu\big|\right|=0
$$

Otherwise,

$$
\|\delta_x|\mu| - \delta_y|\mu\| = \begin{cases} \|\delta_x|\mu_B| - \delta_y|\mu_B\| & (x, y \in A) \\ \|\delta_x|\mu_A| - \delta_y|\mu_A\| & (x, y \in B). \end{cases}
$$

Therefore,  $\mu \in L(X)$  if and only if  $\mu_A \in L(X)$ ,  $\mu_B \in L(X)$ . This statement is equivalent to,  $\mu \in L(X)$  if and only if M  $(A \cup B) \subseteq L(X)$ . So, the conclusion holds.

Let  $M(X)$  be the space of bounded regular Borel measures. We shall say that  $M(X)$ has a general measure multiplication, if there exists a bilinear associative map

 $\phi: M(X) \times M(X) \rightarrow M(x)$  such that

$$
\phi(M_P(X)\times M_P))\subseteq M_P(X).
$$

Also, we shall say that  $\phi$  is Arens regular, if for every two nets  $(\mu_a), (\nu_\beta)$  in M(X),

$$
w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \phi(\mu_\alpha, \nu_\beta) = w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \phi(\mu_\alpha, \nu_\beta),
$$

when, both exist (see introduction [10]).

**Theorem2.3.** Let  $M(A \cup B) \subset L(X)$ . Then,  $L(X)$  is Arens regular if and only if there is a bilinear map  $\phi : M(A) \times M(B) \rightarrow M(C)$  which is Arens regular.

**Proof.** By theorem1,  $L(X)=M(X-\{e\})$  and (by the lemma, 4, [9]),  $L(X)^{**} = M(A)^{**} \oplus M(B)^{**} \oplus M(C)^{**} \oplus \delta_z.$  $L(X) = M(A) \oplus M(B) \oplus M(C) \oplus \delta_z$ 

So, each  $\mu \in L(X)$ ,  $F \in L(X)^{**}$ , can be written uniquely in the form

$$
\mu = \mu l_A + \mu_B + \mu_C + \mu_z \delta_z,
$$
  

$$
F = F_A + F_B + F_C + \mu_z \delta_z,
$$

where,  $\mu_A$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to A and F<sub>A</sub> is the restriction of F to M(A)<sup>\*</sup> and so on.

Let  $\mu, \nu \in M(X)$ . We define  $\Phi : M(X) \times M(X) \rightarrow M(X)$  by

$$
\Phi(\mu, v) = \mu v = \int_X \int_X \tilde{\lambda}(x, y) d\mu(x) dv^* y).
$$

If  $\mu, \nu \in M_P(X)$  then  $\phi(\mu, \nu) \in M_P(X)$ . Thus, the multiplication  $\Phi$  maps probability measures to probability measures.

First, suppose that L(X) is Arens regular. So, for every nets  $(\mu_{\alpha}) \subset M(A)$ ,  $(\nu \beta) \subset M(B)$ , *if*  $\mu^*$  –  $\lim w^*$  –  $\lim \Phi(\mu_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}), w^*$  –  $\lim w^*$  –  $\lim \Phi(\mu_{\alpha}, v_{\beta})$  $w^*$  –  $\lim_{\alpha} w^*$  –  $\lim_{\beta} \Phi(\mu_\alpha, \nu_\beta), w^*$  –  $\lim_{\beta} w^*$  –  $\lim_{\alpha} \Phi(\mu_\alpha, \nu_\beta)$ 

exist, and then they are equal (by Theorem 1, [5]).

Conversely, let  $\Phi$  be Arens regular and  $F, G \in L(X)^{**}$ . There are two nets  $(\mu_{\alpha})$  and  $(v_{\beta})$  in L(X) whit

$$
w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} = F, \ w^* - \lim_{\beta} \mu_{\beta} = G,
$$
  
supp  $\mu_{\alpha} \subseteq X - \{e\}, \ \text{supp } \mu_{\beta} \subseteq X - \{e\}$ 

The multiplication  $\Phi$  is Arens regular. Therefore,

$$
w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \phi((\mu_A)_{\alpha}(v_B)_{\beta}) = w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \phi((\mu_A)_{\alpha}(v_B)_{\beta}),
$$
  

$$
w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \phi((v_B)_{\alpha}(\mu_A)_{\alpha}) = w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \phi((v_B)_{\alpha}(\mu_A)_{\alpha}).
$$

Combining the above equalities, we have

$$
FG = w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} \mu_{\alpha} \nu_{\beta}
$$
  
=  $w^* - \lim_{\alpha} w^* - \lim_{\beta} [(\mu_{\alpha}(1)\nu_{\beta}(1) - (\mu_{A})_{\alpha}(1)(\nu_{B})_{\beta}(1) - (\mu_{B})_{\alpha}(1)(\nu_{A})_{\alpha}(1))\delta_z$  +  
 $\phi((\mu_{A})_{\alpha}(\nu_{B})_{\beta}) + \phi((\mu_{B})_{\alpha}(\nu_{A})_{\beta})] = w^* - \lim_{\beta} w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \mu_{\alpha} \nu_{\beta} = GF.$ 

Thus, FG=GF. By (Propositon1, [5]),  $L(X)$  is Arens regular.

Now, let A and C be disjoint,  $X = \{e\} \cup A \cup C \cup \{z\}$  and  $e, z \notin A \cup C$ . Whit the topology of X, A and C are compact subspaces of X and e, z are isolated points.

**Theorem2.4.** If  $\lambda: A \times A \rightarrow M_P(X)$  is weak\*-continuous and symmetric, then there exists a hypergroup structure on X so that

- (i)  $M(A) \subseteq L(X)$  if and only if  $M(X \{e\}) = L(X);$
- (ii) Let  $M(A) \subseteq L(X)$ . Then there exists a bilinear associative map
	- $\Phi: M(A) \subseteq M(A) \rightarrow M(C)$  which maps probability measures to probability measures and  $L(X)$  is Arens regular if and only if  $\Phi$  is Arens regular.

**Proof.** Define  $\tilde{\lambda}: X \times X \to M_P(X)$  with the following equations:

(i) 
$$
\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,e)} = \tilde{\lambda}_{(e,x)} = \delta_x
$$
;  
\n(ii)  $\tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)} = \begin{cases} \lambda^{(x,y)} & (x, y \in A) \\ \delta_z & (otherwise). \end{cases}$ 

Then, we define a convolution  $\mu v$  *for*  $\mu$ ,  $v \in M(X)$ *by* 

$$
\mu v = \int_X \int_X \tilde{\lambda}_{(x,y)} d\mu(x) dv(y).
$$

It is clear that, if  $\mu, \nu \in M(X - \{e\})$  and then

$$
\mu v = (\mu(1)v(1) - \mu_A(1)v_A(1)\delta_z + \mu_A * v_A).
$$

The rest of the proof is the same as the proof of last theorem.

Let G be an arbitrary locally compact Housdorff group and  $\mu$  be a right invariant Haar measure on G. The space  $L^1(G)$  of integrable functions on G, with the convolution taken as product, is a Banach Algebra. P. Civin and B. Yood [4] have shown that; if G is commutative and infinite set then the Banach Algebra  $L^1(G)$  is not Arens regular. N.Young [14] has extended this result to non-commutative case. A.Ulger [13] has

presented a very simple proof of the Theorem, which says that the group algebra  $L^1(G)$ is Arens regular if and only if G is finite. In this paper we present a Theorem, which shows that the Young's result does not hold in a hypergroup. This theorem is an application of Theorem2.2.

**Theorem2.4.**There is a hypergroup algebra M (X) which has regular multiplication and  $L(X)$  is Arens regular but X is not finite.

**Construction.** Let  $A=[a_1,b_1]$ ,  $B=[a_2,b_2]$ ,  $C=[a,b]$  be subsets of an ordered set in the ordered topology and A,B,C are disjoint. Let  $X = \{e\} \cup A \cup B \cup C \cup \{z\}$ , with the topology in which e, a, b, z are isolated points and supposed  $\phi: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  is a continuous function with supp  $\phi = X$ . Define  $\lambda : A \times B \to M_P(C)$  by

$$
\lambda_{(x,y)} = \phi(x)\phi(y)\delta_a + (1-\phi(x)\phi(y))\delta_b.
$$

So,  $\lambda_{(x,y)} = \lambda_{(y,x)}$  If  $f \in C_0(X) (-C_c(X))$  then,  $\lambda_{(x,y)}(f) = \int_X f(t) d\lambda_{(x,y)}(t) = \phi(x)\phi(y)f(a) + (1-\phi(x)\phi(y))f(b)$ 

Hence, if  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}\)$  is sequence converge to  $(x, y)$  then  $\lim_{n} \lambda_{(x_n, y_n)}(f) = \lambda_{(x, y)}(f).$ 

Therefore,  $\lambda : A \times B \to (M_p(C), Weak^*)$  is continuous. By Theorem 2.2 X is a hypergroup.

To prove  $M(A \cup B) \subseteq L(X)$ , let  $\mu \in M(A \cup B)$ . Then,  $\mu = \mu_A + \mu_B$ . Suppose that  $x \in B$ , then,

$$
\delta_x |\mu_A| = \int_X \int_X \lambda_{(u,v)} d\delta_x(v) d |\mu_A|(u)
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_X \lambda_{(u,x)} d |\mu_A|(u),
$$

So, for  $x, y \in B$ ,

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left\|\delta_x|\mu_A| - \delta_y|\mu_A|\right\| &= \left\|\int_x \lambda_{(u,x)} - \lambda_{(u,y)}d|\mu_A|(u)\right\| \\
&= |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \left\|\int_x \phi(u)d|\mu_A|(u)\right\|\delta_a - \int_x \phi(u)d|\mu_A|(u)\delta_b\right\| \\
&\le 2|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|\mu_A|\phi),\n\end{aligned}
$$

 $\phi$  is continuous, so  $\mu_A \in L(X)$ . Similarly,  $\mu_B \in L(X)$ . Then  $L(X)=M(X-\{e\})$ .

We now prove that  $\phi: M(A) \times M(B) \rightarrow M(C)$  is Arens regular. First suppose that  $\mu_A \in M(A), \nu_B \in M(B).$  So,  $\phi(\mu_A, \nu_B) = \mu_A * \nu_B = \int_X \int_X \lambda_{(x, y)} d\mu_A(x) d\nu_B(y)$ 

$$
= \int_{X} \int_{X} [\phi(x)\phi(y)\delta_{a} + (1 - \phi(x)\phi(y)\delta_{b}]d\mu_{A}(x)dv_{B}(y)
$$
  

$$
= \mu_{A}(\phi)v_{B}(\phi)\delta_{a} + (\mu_{A}(1)v_{B}(1) - \mu_{A}(\phi)v_{B}(\phi))\delta_{b}.
$$

Now, suppose 
$$
\{(\mu_A)_n\}, \{(\nu_B)_m\}
$$
 are two sequences such that  
\n
$$
w^* - \lim_{n} w^* - \lim_{m} \phi((\mu_A)_{n,}(\nu_B)_m), w^* - \lim_{m} w^* \lim_{n} \phi((\mu_A)_{n,}(\nu_B)_m) \text{ exist, then}
$$
\n
$$
w^* - \lim_{n} w^* - \lim_{m} \phi((\mu_A)_{n,}(\nu_B)_m) = w^* - \lim_{n} w^* - \lim_{m} ((\mu_A)_{n,}(\phi)(\nu_B)_m(\phi)\delta_a + ((\mu_A)_{n,}(\Pi)(\phi_B)_m(1) - (\mu_A)_{n,}(\phi)(\nu_B)_m(\phi)\delta_b]
$$
\n
$$
= w^* - \lim_{m} w^* - \lim_{n} \phi((\mu_A)_{n,}(\nu_B)_m).
$$

Hence,  $\phi$  is Arens regular. By Theorem 2.3,  $L(X)$  is Arens regular, but X is not finite.

#### **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my gratitude to professor J.S. Pym and Professor A.R. Medghalchi for their valuable advices and comments on this work.

### **References**

- 1. Arens, R. The adjoint of a bilinear operation, Proc., Amer. Math. Soc. 2(1951) 339-345.
- 2.Arikan, N. Arens regularity and reflexivity, Quart. J. Math Oxford, 32(1981). 383-388.
- 3. Berberian, S.K. Lectures in Functional Analysis and Operator Theory, Springer-verlag, Berlin (1973).
- 4. Civin, P. and Yood, B. The Second Conjugate space of a Banach Algebra as an Algebra, Pacific. J. Math. (1961) 817-870.
- 5. Duncan, J. and Hosseiniun, S.A.R. the second dual of a Banach Algebra, Proc. Royal. Soc. Edinburgh, 84A (1979) 309-325.
- 6. Dunkl, C.P. The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 179 (1978) 331-318.
- 7. Ghahramani, F. and Medghalchi, A.R. compact multipliers on weighted hypergroup algebras, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 98(1985) 493-500.
- 8. Laali J. Regularity and Irregularity of Multiplication In the Circle Algebra, 28'' Annual Iranian Mathematics Conference, Tabriz University, Tabriz (1997).
- 9. Laali, J. and Medghalchi, A.R. Finiteness conditions and regularity of hypergroup, For East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS) I (1), (1999) 61-77.
- 10. Laali, J. and Pym, J. Concepts of Arens regularity of general. Measure algebra. Quart J. Math. Oxford (2) 47 (1996) 187-198.
- 11. Medghalchi, A.R. The second dual algebra of a hypergroup, Math, Z, 210 (1992) 615-624.
- 12. Pym. J.S. Arens multiplications for Banach algebras, Nigerian Mathematical Society, 4th Annual Conference, March (1983).
- 13. Ulger, A. Continuity of weakly almost periodic functionals on L(G), Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 24 (1973) 59-62.